

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/476,443	12/30/1999	RAJEEV K. NALAWADI	042390.P6349	7862
7	7590 09/06/2002			
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			EXAMINER	
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025		ORTIZ JR., BENJAMIN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2181	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

m_

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

			<u>X</u>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•	09/476,443	NALAWADI, RAJEEV K.	NALAWADI, RAJEEV K.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Benjamin Ortiz Jr.	2181		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet t	vith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relevance of the communication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent of the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	vance except for formal m r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	/			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers	or election requirement.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er			
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 1999 is/		objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to t				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		Application No		
Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B	ority documents have bee Jureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	n received in this National Stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes				
a) The translation of the foreign language p 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes				
Attachment(s)	∧ □ ·	V Summan (DTO 442) Banas Na(a)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) If Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and Trademark Office				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 2181

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1,5 and 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Young U.S Patent No. 5,619,706. Young discloses a method and system that includes the use of two different and separate interrupt controllers which receive two different interrupt requests that transfer initializing data and, when needed, re-routes an interrupt request from one controller to other interrupt controller in order for the second interrupt controller to service the first type of interrupt (column 7, claim 1). The system also includes a memory subsystem that stores information and instructions for the processors to manage interrupts in the system (column 4, line 45).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2181

Claims 2-4 and 6-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2. Young U.S Patent No. 5,619,706 as applied to claims 1,5,15 above, in view of Tavallaei et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,987,538. Referring to claims 2,3,6,7,11 and 12 Young discloses a method and system that includes the use of two different and separate interrupt controllers which receive two different interrupt requests that transfer initializing data and, when needed, re-routes an interrupt request from one controller to other interrupt controller in order for the second interrupt controller to service the first type of interrupt but does not disclose configuring a system management interrupt (SMI) to recognize the initializing data of a first interrupt type. However, Tavallaei et al. teaches the use of programmable data entries, which provide information necessary to format an interrupt message or request (column 7, line 56). The entries are made up of vectors such as a vector field, a delivery mode field, destination field and a field for other purposes or otherwise reserved (column 8, 4th paragraph). Tavallaei also teaches the generation of interrupt messages such as a SMI (column 4, line 65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Young's invention to include such programmable data entries in the interrupt requests transferred to the interrupt controllers in order to include initializing data in the fields of the entry such as configuration instructions for the controllers and for the generation of SMIs.

Referring to claims 4,8 and 13, Young further teaches a method and system that includes a first interrupt controller (circuit) which includes a programmable interrupt controller (PIC) and an advanced interrupt controller (APIC)(column 8, claim 8). It is well known that an 82C59 controller is a programmable interrupt controller and can be used in such a system.

Art Unit: 2181

Referring to claims 9 and 10, Young further discloses a system comprising a plurality of processors, a memory subsystem and a bridge element (column 4, line 36). Young also states that the system may employ some or all of the components mentioned and also include different components than those illustrated (column 5,column1). Tavallaei et al. teaches a system where a peripheral component, such as a memory device, is coupled to a second-type interrupt controller (column 9, line 61). Tavallaei also teaches coupling a first-type interrupt controller to a second-type interrupt controller (column 9, line 58). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Young's invention to include such a peripheral component, such as a memory device, coupled to the second-type interrupt controller in order to store instructions to service first-type interrupt request and also to couple a first-type interrupt controller to a second-type interrupt controller in order to communicate interrupt messages between both controllers.

Referring to claim 14, Young further teaches an APIC that, upon detecting an interrupt request not exclusive to the PIC, re-routes the interrupt request to another APIC so that controller con service the interrupt request and not have two controllers issuing the same interrupt (column 5, lines 29-39 and last paragraph).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to programmable interrupt controllers, advanced interrupt controllers and interrupt management:

Art Unit: 2181

a. U.S. Patent No. 5,481,725 to Jayakumar et al., which discloses a method for providing programmable interrupts for embedded hardware used with PICs.

b. U.S. Patent No. 5,745,772 to Klein, which discloses an advanced programmable interrupt controller.

c. U.S. Patent No. 5,848,279 to Wu et al., which discloses a mechanism for delivering interrupt messages.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin Ortiz Jr. whose telephone number is (703)305-3844. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00am-5:30pm, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Wong can be reached on (703)305-3477. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)746-7239 for regular communications and (703)746-7239 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

bo September 4, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100